Pre-Operations Report

Operation Name: Edward's Creek County (%): Tillamook (100%)

Elevation: 831 - 2,458 ft

Legal Description: T2S R8W, Section(s) 10, 15, 16, 21

Tax Code(s): 901

BOF%: 100 **CSL%:** 0

Sale Quarter:

I. VOLUME AND VALUE SUMMARY

Table 1. Types, Acres, and Value

Harvest Type	Anticipated Product ^c	Gross Acres	Net Acres	MBF/ Acrea	MBF/ Unita	\$/MBFb	\$/Unit
CC	RA-S, DF-M	161	114	16.0	1,824	\$260	\$474,240
CC	RA-S, DF-S	57	43	13.0	559	\$260	\$145,340
CC	DF-M, RA-S	174	102	16.0	1,632	\$260	\$424,320
	Regeneration	391	259		4,015		
	Partial Cut					1	
					Gross	Value	\$1,043,900
ited harvest vo	olume per acre for Un	it.			Projec	t Costs	\$174,500
ated 'price' (ex	cluding Project Costs	Net	Value	\$869,400			
	Type CC CC CC	Type Productc CC RA-S, DF-M CC RA-S, DF-S CC DF-M, RA-S Regeneration Partial Cut atted harvest volume per acre for Un	Type Productc Acres CC RA-S, DF-M 161 CC RA-S, DF-S 57 CC DF-M, RA-S 174 Regeneration 391	Type Producte Acres Acres CC RA-S, DF-M 161 114 CC RA-S, DF-S 57 43 CC DF-M, RA-S 174 102 Regeneration 391 259 Partial Cut acres Acres	Type Productc Acres Acres Acrea CC RA-S, DF-M 161 114 16.0 CC RA-S, DF-S 57 43 13.0 CC DF-M, RA-S 174 102 16.0 Regeneration 391 259 Partial Cut acres Acres Acres	Type Productc Acres Acres Acrea Unita CC RA-S, DF-M 161 114 16.0 1,824 CC RA-S, DF-S 57 43 13.0 559 CC DF-M, RA-S 174 102 16.0 1,632 Regeneration 391 259 4,015 Partial Cut Gross Gross Acrea Unita	Type Productc Acres Acres Acrea Unita \$/MBFb CC RA-S, DF-M 161 114 16.0 1,824 \$260 CC RA-S, DF-S 57 43 13.0 559 \$260 CC DF-M, RA-S 174 102 16.0 1,632 \$260 Regeneration 391 259 4,015 4,015 Gross Value Project Costs

c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M – medium [average DBH 15 to 23], L – large (average DBH > 23]), C) Special Product (P – Premium, H – Hardwood)

II. CURRENT STAND CONDITION:

Table 2. Stand Inventory Information

	Stand	Measured/							Net
Unit	ID	Imputed ^a	Species	Age	TPA	DBH	BA	SDI	Acresb
47	37520	M	RA,DF	58	142	17	218	54%	113
182	36244	M	RA,DF	58	158	15	182	48%	31
182	37506	M	DF,RA	58	289	13	262	72%	3
182	37510	I = 37528	RA,DF	58	177	13	164	45%	10
348	32398	M	DF,WH	58	254	14	282	75%	7
348	32986	M	RA,DF	58	130	16	191	48%	4
348	32987	I = 99999	DF,RA	3				0%	1
348	33044	M	RA,DF	67	172	15	199	52%	4
348	37494	M	RA,DF	58	155	16	214	54%	21
348	37496	M	DF,RA	58	176	15	218	57%	52
348	37497	I = 31999	RA,WH	58	222	14	223	60%	10
348	37499	I = 37542	DF,RA	58	161	16	221	56%	3

Identify the source of stand inventory information. Use the following codes: M = Measured SLI data, I = Imputed SLI data, P = Pre-Cruise Plots, O = other (if other, describe below).

These stands were planted but have no record of pre-commercial or commercial thinning.

b. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

Table 3. Additional Stand Information

	Stand	Snags/	Down Wood/			
Unit	ID	Acrea	Acreb	SNC	Phellinus	Otherc
47	37520	8	287	Yes		
182	36244	4	180	Yes		
182	37506	14	1186	Yes		
182	37510	20	55	Yes		
348	32398	14	1876	Yes		
348	32986	8	342	Yes		
348	32987	0	0	Yes		
348	33044	93	139	Yes		
348	37494	9	51	Yes		
348	37496	19	152	Yes		
348	37497	14	114	Yes		
348	37499	8	89	Yes		

a. Identify the number of hard snags per acre (decay classes 1 and 2)

III. WILDLIFE AND T&E SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS:

Foresters need to request the Biological Survey Tracking Form (BSTF) from the ODF Wildlife Biologist prior to sale layout in order to ensure all T&E related information is complete and understood.

1.	A portion of the operation is within (Check all that apply):
	☐ TAS ☐ NSO Circle or Home Range, or Baseline or Elevated Baseline Thiessen (BA required)
	☐ MMMA (BA required) ☐ None
2.	Are Surveys for NSO being conducted for any portion of this operation?
	☐ No ☐ Density Surveys ☐ Operational Surveys ☐ Combination (Density/Operational)
	Notes:
3.	Are Surveys for MM being conducted for any portion of this operation?
	\square Yes (in progress/completed) \boxtimes No (Not habitat) \square N/A (outside of MM survey zone)
	Notes:
4.	Are there any additional considerations (FPA Resource Sites, Species of Concern sites/Plant [from ORBIC¹])?

b. Identify the cubic feet per acre of hard down wood (decay classes 1 and 2)

c. Describe "Other" forest health issue.

Oregon Biodiversity Information Center Tillamook District Draft – April 2025

IV. DESIRED FUTURE CONDITION AND PRESCRIPTION:

Table 4. Stand Structure Information

Unit	Stand ID	Current	Desired Future ^b	Inside of HCA	Net Acres ^a
47	37520	UDS	GEN	No	113
182	36244	UDS	GEN	Yes	31
182	37506	UDS	GEN	Yes	3
182	37510	UDS	GEN	Yes	10
348	32398	LYR	GEN	No	7
348	32986	UDS	GEN	No	4
348	32987	NON	GEN	No	1
348	33044	UDS	GEN	No	4
348	37494	UDS	GEN	No	21
348	37496	UDS	GEN	No	52
348	37497	UDS	GEN	No	10
348	37499	UDS	GEN	No	3

a. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

Table 5. Partial Cut & HCA Prescriptions

		Harvest	Residual				
Unit	Harvest Type	Species	Species	TPA	BA	% SDI	
182	CC	DF, RA	DF				

• Prescription Considerations:

- O Unit 182 is located in a Habitat Conservation area and is predominately a Douglas-fir stand that is infected with Swiss Needle Cast. This stand will be regeneration harvested to remove the majority of the Swiss Needle Cast infested Douglas fir while retaining the largest trees available, those individual Douglas-fir that are growing well, and tree species that are resistant to Swiss needle cast. Red alder will be evaluated during layout and if any sprayed alder is identified it will likely be removed. This area will be replanted with a mix of SNC resistant species and will highlight planting at lower densities to promote complex patches of early seral stage forest resulting in a stand that is positioned for future habitat treatments to grow into habitat for covered species in an accelerated timeframe. Treatments are intended to improve spatial heterogeneity, compositional diversity, understory development, canopy layering, and structural complexity of dominant and subdominant cohorts relative to untreated stands with similar conditions. Field staff and ODF Wildlife biologists will work closely during sale layout to determine legacy components (species, size, location, etc.) when finalizing prescriptions in these areas.
- Leave Tree Considerations: Foresters will work with wildlife biologist during sale layout. The following should be considered when determining final leave tree arrangements.

b. While desired future condition complex (Layered -LYR and Older Forest Structure – OFS) is mapped, targets for Regeneration, Closed Single Canopy and Understory stands are not. These stand types are typically referred to as General (GEN) when discussing desired future condition.

- O **Geotechnical:** Additional wind firm leave trees will be concentrated around the No Harvest Areas within the sale shown on the Exhibit A map. Foresters will evaluate stand and topographic conditions to determine sites appropriate for these buffer areas.
- **Reforestation Considerations:** Following the completion of harvest, the unit will be planted with a mixture of species native to the geographic area.

V. HARVESTING AND ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS:

Table 6. Harvest System and Access Summary

	Harves	t System		Unit	Seasonal
Unit	% Cable	% Ground	Slope (%)	Access	Access
182	100	0	35-65	Established	Dry Weather
					Only
348	100	0	35-65	Verified	Combo
47	100	0	35-65	Established	Dry Weather
					Only

- 1. Haul Route: Edwards Butte Road, BSM Road, South Fork Trask River Road, Gold Creek Road.
 - Unit 47 is behind Stimson Lumber's gate on Gold Creek Road.
- 2. Haul Route Condition: Edwards Butte Road needs a heavy grading and a 4" lift of rock. BSM Road and South Fork Trask Road will be maintained by the South Fork Trask and Edwards BSM timber sales.
- 3. Are easements required for the haul route? \square No \boxtimes Yes
 - A road use permit will be needed to haul across Stimson and a permit from Stimson to place waste from spur road construction on their property.

Table 7. Transportation Management Summary (Miles)

Activity	Mainline	Collector	Rocked Spur	Dirt Spur
Construct	0	0	0.49	0.51
Improve, Rock, and/or Maintain	0	0	3.37	0
Vacate	0	0		
Stream Crossings to install e	xisting (IE)/repla	ce (R)/ new cons	struction (NC)	
Type F - SSBT ^a	0	0	0	0
Type F – Non-SSBT	0	0	0	0
Type N	0	0	0	0

- a. Salmon Steelhead and Bull Trout (SSBT)
- 4. Rock Sources for this operation: Unit 348: Pit-Run Source (T2S, R8W, Sec 22), Unit 47: Commercial
- 5. Are property line surveys required for this operation? \square No \square Yes
- 6. Is there planned new road construction planned within RCAs/HCAs? ⊠ No ☐ Yes

VI. AQUATIC RESOURCES:

		streams					

•	Fish	presence:	\boxtimes No	Yes

		/a						
	• Perennial,	/Seasonal: ☐ No	⊠ Yes					
	• H.E.R. [□ No ⊠ Yes						
	where it is	believed streams	are located. These	additional review. Buffers s streams will be located, ve out and Geotech review an	*			
2.	Is a portion of the operation within an Aquatic Anchor? ⊠ No ☐ Yes							
3.	•			the Oregon Water Resource am within 3,000 feet of the	ce Department's water rights e harvest operation?			
	⊠ No □	Yes, describe pro	otection measures:					
4.5.	within the har	vest operation?		nestic points of diversion the blease describe No				
3711	SI ODE ST	ABILITY ISSUI	EÇ.					
Ta		ry of Slope Stabili		Additional Comment	1			
	Unit	Harvest Review	Public Safety Review	Additional Comment				
	182	Complete	Complete					
	182 348	Complete No			-			
		Complete	Complete Yes					
	34847Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial geoted into No Harve or or ireal Specialist is re-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys th	n on the map. Some slope hat have not yet been comp layout and as streams surv	I slope protections have been e protections are dependent oleted. Consultation with the eys are completed in order			
VIII	 348 47 Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ 	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial ged ted into No Harve or field review or or ical Specialist is redine if additional present the second of the secon	Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale cotections are required.	n on the map. Some slope hat have not yet been comp layout and as streams surv	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the			
	348 47 • Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial geoted into No Harve or or ireal Specialist is re-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale rotections are required.	n on the map. Some slope hat have not yet been comp layout and as streams surv	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the			
1.	 348 47 Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ TIRECREAT Recreation issues 	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial ged ted into No Harve of field review or or ical Specialist is redine if additional profile. TION RESOUR ues/coordination:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale rotections are required.	n on the map. Some slope at have not yet been complayout and as streams survired.	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the			
1.	• Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ TI.RECREAT Recreation isst HISTORIC Has the sale b presence?	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial geo ted into No Harve refield review or or ical Specialist is re- ine if additional pre CION RESOUR ues/coordination: AND CULTUI een reviewed by a No □Yes	Complete Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale rotections are required. RCES: No Yes RAL RESOURG	n on the map. Some slope at have not yet been complayout and as streams survived. es, please describe: CES: ogist for potential historic of	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the eys are completed in order			
1. IX. 1.	• Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ TI.RECREAT Recreation issu HISTORIC Has the sale b presence? • Cultural R	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial gented into No Harver field review or or ical Specialist is regime if additional processor for the coordination: AND CULTURE CONTROLL OF The Coordination: AND CULTURE CONTROLL OF THE COORDINATION COULTURE COORDINATION COORDINATION COULTURE COORDINATION COORDINATION COULTURE COORDINATION COULTURE COORDINATION COO	Complete Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale rotections are required. RCES: No Yes RAL RESOURG qualified archaeological	n on the map. Some slope at have not yet been complayout and as streams survived. es, please describe: CES: ogist for potential historic of	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the eys are completed in order			
1. IX. 1.	• Geotech incorpora on further Geotechn to determ TI.RECREAT Recreation isso HISTORIC Has the sale b presence? • Cultural R SCENIC RI Are there scen	Complete No Yes Yes Yes Review: Initial generated into No Harver field review or or ical Specialist is regime if additional profile of the coordination: AND CULTURE CONTROLL OF The coordination: AND CULTURE CONTROLL OF The coordination: AND CULTURE CONTROLL OF THE COORDINATION CULTURE COORDINATION CONTROLL OF THE COORDINATION COORDINATIO	Complete Yes Yes Yes Yes Otechnical reviews est buffers as shown stream surveys the quired during sale rotections are required. RCES: No Yes RAL RESOURG qualified archaeologial be completed provided by the completed provided by the completed provided provided by the completed provided by the complete provided	n on the map. Some slope at have not yet been complayout and as streams survived. es, please describe: CES: ogist for potential historic of	e protections are dependent bleted. Consultation with the eys are completed in order or cultural resource			

1.	Has a review of the F	LMCS laye	er determined that any resources not mentioned in the report ab	ove need
	additional planning?	⊠ No	☐ Yes, please describe:	

2. Are there any other resources present that need additional consideration? ☐ No ☒ Yes, please describe:

• No Harvest (Other):

- O Unit 47 The area along the northern boundary is included to accommodate a possible landing location (no timber present).
- O Unit 348 The larger no harvest area in will be further evaluated by district staff during layout for harvest feasibility and portions may be added to the final harvest boundary. Foresters should consult ODF Area Biologist if any boundary changes are made.
- **Powerline:** Existing powerlines are present within and in proximity to Units 348. Logging systems should be designed to avoid this hazard. Power companies will need to be coordinated with during sale layout and during active operations.
- Unit Size: Units 47 and 182 are adjacent to each other and combined exceed 120 acres. After stream buffers are posted it will be determined if there is at least 300 feet of buffer between these Units. If the 300 foot minimum buffer between units is not met, then modifications will be made to either the sale boundaries or the stream buffers to ensure compliance with the FPA.

Tillamook District Edward's Creek
Draft – April 2025 Page 6

